UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/739,354	12/18/2003	Chad M. Fors	CE10577R	9648
22917 MOTOROLA, I	7590 08/11/200 <b>INC</b> .		EXAMINER	
1303 EAST AL	GONQUIN ROAD		JACKSON, JENISE E	
IL01/3RD SCHAUMBURG, IL 60196			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2139	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/11/2008	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Docketing.Schaumburg@motorola.com APT099@motorola.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/739,354	FORS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JENISE E. JACKSON	2139				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/30	/08.					
	action is non-final.					
· <u> </u>						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Goo the attached detailed office action for a list	of the defined copies het reserve					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	αιστι Αργιισαιιστί				

Art Unit: 2139

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Daly(5,930,262) in view of Yahagi(5,642,401).
- 3. As per claim 1, Daly discloses a method of providing authentication services for applications that are running on a client and requiring access to a network based server, the method comprising: establishing a network connection further comprising an authentication with the network; generating, responsive to the authentication, a first dynamic seed locally at the network based server(see col. 1, lines 38-49); generating a second application key independently at the client corresponding to the locally generated second dynamic seed, wherein the second application key is generated without utilizing the first application key(see col. 3, lines 49-67, col. 4, lines 1-50); providing the generated first application key to facilitate authenticating an application at the network based server and the generated second application key to facilitate authenticating an application at the client(see col. 3, lines 49-67, col. 4, lines 1-50).

  Daly does not disclose generating, responsive to the authentication, a second dynamic seed locally at the client without utilizing the first dynamic seed. Yahagi discloses generating, responsive to the authentication, a second dynamic seed locally at the client without utilizing the first

Art Unit: 2139

dynamic seed, wherein the generated second dynamic seed is consistent with the first dynamic seed(col. 7, lines 31-34). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include a second dynamic seed locally at the client without utilizing the first dynamic seed, wherein the generated second dynamic seed is consistent with the first dynamic seed of Yahagi with Daly, because this method can prevent interception of identification data associated with a mobile station(see col. 2, lines 49-53 of Yahagi).

- 2. As per claim 2, Daly discloses storing the first application key at the network based server for subsequent retrieval to facilitate the authenticating an application and wherein generating the second application key further comprises storing the second application key at the client for subsequent retrieval to facilitate the authenticating an application (see col. 4, lines 51-65).
- 3. As per claim 3, Daly discloses the first application key further comprises generating a plurality of application keys where each of the plurality of keys corresponds to a different application and wherein generating the second application key further comprises generating a plurality of application keys where each of the plurality of keys corresponds to a different application(see col. 3, lines 49-67, col. 4, lines 1-50).
- 4. As per claim 4, Daly discloses providing an application seed and generating keying information specific to the application and wherein providing the second application key further comprises providing an application seed and generating keying information specific to the application(see col. 4, lines 51-62, col. 5, lines 1-11).
- 5. As per claim 5, Daly discloses providing a new application key every time the authenticating the application is required and wherein providing the second application key

Application/Control Number: 10/739,354

Art Unit: 2139

further comprises providing a new application key every time the authenticating the application is required(see col. 4, lines 51-67, col. 5, lines 1-36).

Page 4

- As per claim 6, Daly discloses providing the first application key further comprises 6. providing the first application key corresponding to a time duration within which the first application key is valid and wherein providing the second application key further comprises providing the second application key corresponding to a time duration within which the second application key is valid(see col. 5, lines 1-36).
- 7. As per claim 7, Daly disclose generating the first dynamic seed further generating a new dynamic seed each time an authentication with the network occurs, the generating the first application key further comprises generating a new application key corresponding to the new dynamic seed, and the providing the first application key further comprises providing the new application key(see fig. 3, col. 3, lines 49-67, col. 4, lines 1-67, col. 5, lines 1-36).
- 8. As per claim 8, Daly discloses wherein the authentication with the network utilizes processes corresponding to an Extensible Authentication Protocol(see col. 2, lines 23-56).
- 9. As per claims 9, 19, Daly discloses implemented by one of a client and a network server(fig. 2, col. 2, lines 57-67).
- 10. As per claims 10, 20, Daly discloses implemented by one of a wireless client and a network server accessed via a wireless network (see col. 2, lines 23-56, col. 4, lines 1-67).
- 11. As per claim 11, Daly discloses a computer readable medium storing programming instructions for operating a system entity to provide authentication services for applications that are running on a client and requiring access to a network based server, including programming

Art Unit: 2139

instructions for (see col. 3, lines 1-25); establishing a network connection and completing an authentication with the network, generating a first application key independently at the network based server based on the first dynamic seed and a second application key independently at the client based on the second dynamic seed (see col. 3, lines 61-67); and providing, on demand, the first and second application keys to facilitate authenticating an application (see col. 5, lines 11-35). Daly does not disclose generating a second dynamic seed locally at the client, wherein the second dynamic seed is generated without utilizing the first dynamic seed. Yahagi discloses generating a second dynamic seed locally at the client, wherein the second dynamic seed is generated without utilizing the first dynamic seed (see col. 7, lines 31-34). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include generating a second dynamic seed locally at the client, wherein the second dynamic seed is generated without utilizing the first dynamic seed of Yahagi with Daly, the motivation is that this method can prevent interception of identification data associated with a mobile station(see col. 2, lines 49-53 of Yahagi).

- 12. As per claim 12, Daly discloses wherein the programming instructions for storing the first application key in persistent storage at the network based server and the second application key in persistent storage at the client for subsequent retrieval to facilitate the authenticating an application(see col. 3, lines 3-15, 27-47).
- 13. As per claim 13, Daly discloses wherein the programming instructions for generating a plurality of application keys where each of the plurality of keys is derived from the first and second dynamic seeds and corresponds to a different application (see col. 4, lines 7-50).

Art Unit: 2139

14. As per claim 14, Daly discloses wherein the programming instructions providing the application key further provides an application seed; and wherein the computer readable medium further comprises the programming instructions for using the application seed for generating keying information specific to the application(see col. 3, lines 26-60).

- 15. As per claim 15, Daly discloses wherein the programming instructions for providing a different application key every time the authenticating the application is required(see col. 3, lines 61-67).
- 16. As per claim 16, Daly discloses wherein the programming instructions for providing the first and second application keys and the first and second application keys further corresponds to a time duration within which the application key is valid(col. 4, lines 7-65).
- 17. As per claim 17, Daly discloses wherein the programming instructions for providing a new dynamic seed each time an authentication with the network occurs, and for generating a new application key corresponding to the new dynamic seed and providing the new application key to facilitate the authenticating the application (see col. 2, lines 23-56).
- 18. As per claim 18, Daly discloses wherein the programming instructions for completing the authentication with the network utilizes processes corresponding to one of a smart card, an Extensible Authentication Protocol with Subscriber Identity Module extensions, an Extensible Authentication Protocol with Transport Level Security extensions, and an Extensible Authentication Protocol with Authentication and Key Agreement extensions(see fig. 3, col. 3, lines 49-67, col. 4, lines 1-67, col. 5, lines 1-11).

Art Unit: 2139

## Response to Amendment

19. The Applicant discloses that the amended limitations, generating, responsive to the authentication a second dynamic seed locally at the client without utilizing the first dynamic seed is not disclosed by Daly. New art has been applied in regards to the amended limitation above; therefore, the Applicant's response is moot.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JENISE E. JACKSON whose telephone number is (571)272-3791. The examiner can normally be reached on Increased Flex time, but generally in the office M-Fri(8-4:30)..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid can be reached on (571) 272-4063. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/739,354

Page 8

Art Unit: 2139

August 1, 2008 /J. E. J./ Examiner, Art Unit 2139

/Krisitne Kincaid/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2139